	Case 1:22-cv-01491-JLT-HBK Docume	nt 7 Filed 03/01/23	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MELTON SMITH,	Case No. 1:22-cv-0)1491-JLT-HBK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTIN	NG FINDINGS AND TION
13	v.	(Doc. 6)	
14	ESCANDONE, FISHER, DEGROOT, HEMINGWAY,		
1516	Defendants.		
17	DL: CCC MALC C :d Ld	1 6.1.	
18	Plaintiff, Melton Smith, commenced this action by filing a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 1.) The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge		
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	In conjunction with filing his complaint, Plaintiff also filed a motion to proceed <i>in forma</i>		
21	pauperis. (Doc. 2., "IFP Motion") Plaintiff's IFP motion did not include a certified copy of his		
22	trust fund account statement and the "Certificate" portion was left blank. (<i>Id.</i> at 2.) On November		
23	28, 2022, the Magistrate Judge denied, without prejudice, Plaintiff's IFP motion and ordered him		
24	to (1) submit a new application to proceed in forma pauperis completing the "Certificate" section		
25	of the application or include his prison trust account statement reflecting the 6 months of		
2627	transaction proceeding the filing of the complaint; or (2) pay the \$402.00 filing fee. (Doc. 4.)		
28	Plaintiff was given twenty-one days to exercis	se one of the two aforer	mentioned options, and the

Case 1:22-cv-01491-JLT-HBK Document 7 Filed 03/01/23 Page 2 of 2

Court included a blank IFP application form with its Order. (*Id.*) The Magistrate Judge further warned Plaintiff that his failure to timely comply with the November 28, 2022 Order would result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. (*Id.* at 2.) Plaintiff did not file a new application to proceed in forma pauperis and he did not pay the \$402.00 filing fee. *See* docket. On January 26, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations recommending the case be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute, which was served on Plaintiff and contained notice that Objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (Doc. 6.) Plaintiff did not file any objections and the time to do so has expired. *See* docket.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

ACCORDINGLY, it is ORDERED:

1. The Findings and Recommendation, filed on January 26, 2023, is adopted in full; and

MILLIMM

2. The Clerk of Court shall terminate any pending motions, close this case, and enter judgment against Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: **February 28, 2023**